Jessie Hall

From:	Kerri Langlais <langlais@terawulf.com>
Sent:	Tuesday, November 11, 2025 11:37 PM
To:	Ruth Groff; Paul Prager; Jerry Goodenough
Cc:	Town Clerk; Clerk's Office; Joe Wetmore; Judy Drake - jdrake@lansingtown.com; Christine Montague; Laurie Hemmings; Guy Krogh; Alessi, Robert
Subject:	RE: Request for Meeting & Agenda Placement – Cayuga Data Campus (FOR THE RECORD)
Attachments:	anna kelles oct 15 email.png; Anna Kelles Request for Information_NYSEG.pdf; ScreenRecording_11-11-2025 18-03-10_1.mov
Importance:	High
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

Ruth, Joe, Judy, Christine and Laurie,

I am writing once again to (1) confirm the arrangements for the upcoming Town Board meeting scheduled for November 19, during which TeraWulf is on the agenda, and (2) bring to your attention concerning information regarding Assemblymember Anna Kelles' public statements about the Cayuga Data Campus project.

Our goal is not to heighten tension within the community but to ensure that discussion surrounding this project remains based on verified facts. Unfortunately, several of Assemblymember Kelles' statements—both directly to the Board, to the press, and to residents—have been proven inaccurate. It is important that these misrepresentations be corrected on the public record.

On October 10, 2025, Assemblymember Kelles submitted a Request for Information (RFI) in a New York electricity rate case asking about responsibility for infrastructure upgrade costs associated with large-scale data center developments.

However, on October 15, before receiving a response, she publicly addressed this issue before the Lansing Town Board, asserting that local residents would bear these costs. During that same appearance, she expressed support for a proposed moratorium and opposition to the Cayuga Data Campus. She also circulated a letter earlier that same day seeking signatures from local officials, stating:

"Put a 400MW data center in a county that currently only uses 87MW in its entirety and NYSEG will have to build out huge amounts of infrastructure to meet practically a quintupling of the energy demand for our county. However, the data center does not pay for that infrastructure. We do."

On October 20, NYSEG responded to Assemblymember Kelles' RFI, confirming unequivocally that:

- No new grid infrastructure related to data center development has been or will be funded by ratepayers.
- All required upgrades or improvements are fully funded by developers, not the community.

These facts were further supported by the Chairman of the New York Public Service Commission, who testified that New York's "beneficiary pays" model ensures responsible growth and prevents costs from being shifted to local communities.

While TeraWulf has repeatedly attempted to direct Assemblymember Kelles to these official statements from both NYSEG and the NYPSC, it has come to our attention that she sought—and received—guidance directly from

NYSEG confirming these same points, yet she has not corrected the record regarding her earlier inaccurate assertions about the Cayuga Data Campus project.

Unfortunately, Assemblymember Kelles' unfounded claims have influenced a significant portion of the local residential base, distorting public opinion and fueling unnecessary fears. This is not only unjust—it is irresponsible.

We believe the Town Board owes it to its residents to correct the record in this regard. Accordingly, we respectfully request that this letter, along with its supporting documentation, be formally entered into the public record for the November 19 meeting.

For the Board's convenience, we have attached:

1. NYSEG's October 20 response to Assemblymember Kelles' RFI (PDF). (Pgs 7+8)
2. A screenshot of Assemblymember Kelles' email exchange with regional elected officials reflecting her assertions. (Pg 9)
3. A clip from the Public Service Commission meeting reflecting the "beneficiary pays" testimony.
4. We trust the Board has access to the October 15 Town Board meeting recording, where Assemblymember Kelles' testimony can be reviewed directly.

We would appreciate confirmation that this correspondence has been received and that this email and the attached materials will be included in the public record.

Regards,
Kerri

From: Kerri Langlais
Sent: Thursday, November 6, 2025 11:03 AM
To: Ruth Groff <rgroff@lansingtownny.gov>; Paul Prager <prager@terawulf.com>; Jerry Goodenough <jgoodenough@beowulfed.com>
Cc: Town Clerk <townclerk@lansingtownny.gov>; Clerk's Office <clerksoffice@lansingtownny.gov>; Joe Wetmore <jwetmore@lansingtownny.gov>; Judy Drake - jdrake@lansingtown.com <jdrake@lansingtownny.gov>; Christine Montague <cmontague@lansingtownny.gov>; Laurie Hemmings <lhemmings@lansingtownny.gov>; Guy Krogh <gkrogh@thalerandthaler.com>; Alessi, Robert <robert.alessi@us.dlapiper.com>
Subject: RE: Request for Meeting & Agenda Placement – Cayuga Data Campus (Letter Attached)
Importance: High

Hi Ruth,

Could you please confirm that the Cayuga Data Campus remains on the November 19 agenda and let us know our order on the agenda?

I understand your referenced practice of allowing 10-minute presentations addressed to the Board. Given the complexity and public interest in this project, we respectfully request **20 minutes** (aligned with the time afforded to Assemblymember Kelles on October 15). We will keep remarks concise and addressed solely to the Board.

If 20 minutes is not acceptable to the Board, we would be grateful for your consideration of **15 minutes**.

We would appreciate a prompt reply so we can plan and prepare accordingly.

Thank you,
Kerri

From: Kerri Langlais
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 12:31 PM

To: 'Ruth Groff' <rgroff@lansingtownny.gov>; Paul Prager <prager@terawulf.com>; Jerry Goodenough <jgoodenough@beowulfed.com>
Cc: Town Clerk <townclerk@lansingtownny.gov>; Clerk's Office <clerksoffice@lansingtownny.gov>; Joe Wetmore <jwetmore@lansingtownny.gov>; Judy Drake - jdrake@lansingtown.com <jdrake@lansingtownny.gov>; Christine Montague <cmontague@lansingtownny.gov>; Laurie Hemmings <lhemmings@lansingtownny.gov>
Subject: RE: Request for Meeting & Agenda Placement – Cayuga Data Campus (Letter Attached)

Hi Ruth,

Thank you for your email and for clarifying the timing around the agenda. I understand and respect the need to prioritize Town business.

That said, I'd be remiss not to note that Ms. Kelles was given about 20 minutes to speak at the October 15 meeting—an evening already described as having an overloaded agenda. While I recognize that extending additional time to elected officials can sometimes be a courtesy, there's no formal rule requiring it. With that in mind, it's difficult to see how limiting our presentation to ten minutes would best serve the Board or the public, given the complexity and importance of the Cayuga Data Campus.

We understand that the Board—not the public—will be asking questions following our presentation, and we hope those questions reflect the residents' interests and the information that's most relevant to their concerns. We trust that members of the Board will take time to familiarize themselves with the project in advance so that the discussion can be as productive and informative as possible.

Thank you again for your time and consideration. We will stay tuned for the set agenda.

Best,
Kerri

From: Ruth Groff <rgroff@lansingtownny.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 11:20 AM
To: Kerri Langlais <langlais@terawulf.com>; Paul Prager <prager@terawulf.com>; Jerry Goodenough <jgoodenough@beowulfed.com>
Cc: Town Clerk <townclerk@lansingtownny.gov>; Clerk's Office <clerksoffice@lansingtownny.gov>; Joe Wetmore <jwetmore@lansingtownny.gov>; Judy Drake - jdrake@lansingtown.com <jdrake@lansingtownny.gov>; Christine Montague <cmontague@lansingtownny.gov>; Laurie Hemmings <lhemmings@lansingtownny.gov>
Subject: Re: Request for Meeting & Agenda Placement – Cayuga Data Campus (Letter Attached)

Kerri, we haven't set the agenda yet, and it depends on whether the budget passes at the meeting this Wednesday. We are required to pass it by the 20th, so if it doesn't pass this week, we will need to place it at the beginning of the meeting on the 19th to ensure its passage. We need to attend to Town business before we hear reports and presentations. You will be notified once we set the agenda.

Also, just so you know, it is our practice to allow no more than 10 minutes for presentations and they are to be addressed to the Board. Upon conclusion, questions may be taken only from Board Members, not the public. As I am constantly reminding people, these are not town hall meetings, they are town board meetings where official business and decision making occurs.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Ruth Groff

Town Supervisor
Town of Lansing
29 Auburn Road
Lansing, NY 14882
rgroff@lansingtownny.gov
607-533-8896

**Please note as of June 16, 2025, all Town email addresses will end with @lansingtownny.gov Please update your address book with our new email addresses.

From: Kerri Langlais <langlais@terawulf.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 2, 2025 12:12 PM
To: Ruth Groff <rgroff@lansingtownny.gov>; Paul Prager <prager@terawulf.com>; Jerry Goodenough <jgoodenough@beowulfed.com>
Cc: Town Clerk <townclerk@lansingtownny.gov>; Clerk's Office <clerksoffice@lansingtownny.gov>; Joe Wetmore <jwetmore@lansingtownny.gov>; Judy Drake - jdrake@lansingtown.com <jdrake@lansingtownny.gov>; Christine Montague <cmontague@lansingtownny.gov>; Laurie Hemmings <lhemmings@lansingtownny.gov>
Subject: RE: Request for Meeting & Agenda Placement – Cayuga Data Campus (Letter Attached)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Ruth and Judy,

Thank you for confirming our inclusion on the November 19 agenda. We appreciate the opportunity to present in a public setting and ensure residents receive clear, fact-based information about the Cayuga Data Campus.

Ruth, with respect, we believe it is the Board that owes the community an informative, transparent discussion. That has been our goal from the beginning, and we're grateful for your shift in tone and your new willingness to allow a constructive exchange.

Judy, in fairness, we have never brought a "gang" to any Town meeting or information session hosted by TeraWulf, and we strongly resent that insinuation. The individuals who have attended alongside us are current TeraWulf employees, former Cayuga Operating Company employees, or local residents with a direct stake in the project's jobs and economic impact. These are stakeholders — not outsiders or agitators.

By contrast, we were informed that a group of Mr. Wetmore's followers had planned to show up in *Little Bo Peep* costumes — a staged jab at our company's name, implying "a wolf in sheep's clothing." It's a clever pun perhaps, but a poor substitute for fact-based debate about real jobs, tax revenue, and responsible development. Fortunately, Superintendent Pettograsso's zero-tolerance policy prevented that kind of disruption on school property. For awareness, some of these same extremists have since begun harassing TeraWulf executives and even our family members by phone, which is well beyond the bounds of civil discourse.

We trust there is no objection to actual stakeholders being present — the people whose livelihoods, property taxes, and community services will be directly affected by this project.

For the November 19 meeting, we will honor the request to limit the TeraWulf team to:

- Paul Prager, Chief Executive Officer
- Kerri Langlais, Chief Strategy Officer
- Sean Farrell, Chief Operating Officer
- Jerry Goodenough, Project Management
- John Marabella, Engineering & Environmental

Please confirm our placement on the agenda (i.e., order of appearance) and the time allocation as soon as possible. We will be prepared.

We are grateful that, after so many prior requests, the Board is finally willing to provide us the opportunity to speak publicly and engage in open discussion with the community.

Regards,
Kerri

From: Ruth Groff <rgroff@lansingtownny.gov>
Sent: Sunday, November 2, 2025 11:21 AM
To: Kerri Langlais <klanglais@terawulf.com>; Paul Prager <prager@terawulf.com>; Jerry Goodenough <jgoodenough@beowulfed.com>
Cc: Town Clerk <townclerk@lansingtownny.gov>; Clerk's Office <clerksoffice@lansingtownny.gov>; Joe Wetmore <jwetmore@lansingtownny.gov>; Judy Drake - jdrake@lansingtown.com <jdrake@lansingtownny.gov>; Christine Montague <cmontague@lansingtownny.gov>; Laurie Hemmings <lhemmings@lansingtownny.gov>
Subject: Re: Request for Meeting & Agenda Placement – Cayuga Data Campus (Letter Attached)

[**EXTERNAL EMAIL** - Be cautious of attachments and links. Verify the sender is valid.]

I apologize for the late response, but I have been out of town this past week with spotty connection to the internet.

I will honor your request to be added to the November 19th agenda. I see that as appropriate at this time and your presentation is not in conflict with other agenda items. I would like to reiterate the request that Judy Drake expressed that no one other than yourselves be present. You at least owe the Town the respect to comply with that request.

Ruth Groff

Town Supervisor

Town of Lansing

29 Auburn Road

Lansing, NY 14882

rgroff@lansingtownny.gov

607-533-8896

**Please note as of June 16, 2025, all Town email addresses will end with @lansingtownny.gov Please update your address book with our new email addresses.

From: Kerri Langlais <langlais@terawulf.com>
Sent: Friday, October 31, 2025 1:30 PM
To: Ruth Groff <rgroff@lansingtownny.gov>; Joe Wetmore <jwetmore@lansingtownny.gov>; Judy Drake - jdrake@lansingtown.com <jdrake@lansingtownny.gov>; Christine Montague <cmontague@lansingtownny.gov>; Laurie Hemmings <lhemmings@lansingtownny.gov>
Cc: Town Clerk <townclerk@lansingtownny.gov>; Clerk's Office <clerksoffice@lansingtownny.gov>
Subject: Request for Meeting & Agenda Placement – Cayuga Data Campus (Letter Attached)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Supervisor Groff, Members of the Town Board, and Town Clerk,

Please find attached a letter from TeraWulf Inc. requesting (i) an in-person meeting with the Town Board to discuss the Cayuga Data Campus and the proposed moratorium, and (ii) placement on the agenda for the November 19, 2025 Town Board meeting to provide a Town-endorsed forum for public discussion and Q&A.

We would appreciate confirmation of receipt, our inclusion on the November 19 agenda, and a few dates/times the Board would find acceptable for the requested in-person meeting. We also respectfully request that the Town Clerk add this correspondence and the attached letter to the official record (and include it in the November 19 meeting packet, as applicable).

Best regards,

Kerri Langlais
Chief Strategy Officer
Mobile: [redacted]
www.terawulf.com

New York State Electric & Gas Corporation
Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation

25-E-0375, 25-G-0378, 25-E-0379, 25-G-0380
Request for Information

Requesting Party:	Assemblymember Anna Kelles
Request No.:	NYRC-1658 (AMKelles-17)
Date of Request:	October 10, 2025
Response Due Date:	October 20, 2025
Date of Reply:	October 20, 2025
Witness:	Mark Gaines, Christopher Malone
Panel:	Electric Capital Expenditures Panel

Subject:

Question:

Unless otherwise noted, the following information requests pertain to both gas and electric rate filings. To the extent that the answers differ for each case, then please explain in your answer and identify to which case it applies. To the extent that the Company can only answer in part, kindly do so, and to the extent that the Company requires additional time to answer, kindly explain the reason why and when a response may be expected.

17. How many large scale (consuming 20+ MW) datacenters have been added to the customer base in the last 5 years? What infrastructure has been added to specifically meet the increased megawatt demand from the datacenters in terms of all infrastructure components (e.g. substations, high voltage line mileage etc..).

Response:

17. Within NYSEG and RG&E's service territory there are three (3) datacenters (all in NYSEG) in service with power consumption 20+ MW. All three cases involve re-purposing existing infrastructure that had originally been installed for fossil fuel generation.

In cases where any infrastructure upgrades were required, the developer was responsible for paying for costs. In these three locations a majority of the equipment that has been upgraded was associated with the existing power plant infrastructure that is not owned by NYSEG or RG&E. When upgrades of NYSEG and RG&E equipment were necessary the scope was generally limited to upgrading fault sensing equipment (relays, etc..) and did not include significant upgrades (e.g. substation expansion, new transmission facilities).

New York State Electric & Gas Corporation
Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation

25-E-0375, 25-G-0378, 25-E-0379, 25-G-0380
Request for Information

As previously noted, all of NYSEG and RG&E's costs were fully funded by the developer.

If there was a circumstance where the developer paid for equipment and then turned that equipment over to NYSEG/RG&E ownership, that equipment would be categorized as fully depreciated (i.e., would generate no rate of return or charge to ratepayers).

From: Anna Kelles <kellesa@nyassembly.gov>
Date: Wednesday, October 15, 2025 at 12:58 AM
To: Patrick Kuehl <pkuehl@cityofithaca.org>
Cc: Ducson Nguyen <DNguyen@cityofithaca.org>, Common Council <council@cityofithaca.org>
Subject: Re: Terawulf data center letter

Good evening Patrick,

You may not have realized that I was included in this text thread but I will respond assuming you did your due diligence to review the to: field of the email.

I do appreciate your candor though I take deep offense to the insinuation that I have some random political agenda. Additionally, the insinuation that I would base such an effort on vague environmental ponderings rather than a decade of deep research built on decades of expertise in the field of environmental sciences suggests how little you understand of both my work ethic and my background. Finally, if you read the letter thoroughly you would see that the data center is not addressed in any way nor does the letter have anything to do with a data center. This is about supporting another government body in doing their statutory obligation to ensure that the zoning aligns with the comprehensive plan. It does not suggest any direction to the actual zoning process. By law they are required to ensure the zoning aligns with the comprehensive plan as is the case with any other local government in the state. The members of the council are getting bullied and threatened to prevent them from completing their statutory obligation which they have been trying actively to do for the last 5 years or so including securing state funding for a consultant this year to complete the work. What I don't like are bullies who use their power and wealth to try and intimidate people practically volunteering their time for the love of their people and their community at large. We have an opportunity as fellow legislators to stand with them so yes that is what I have asked of you all.

Independently, am I opposed to a 400MW data center on the shores of Cayuga lake?Yes I am, and that is a separate issue that I will actively address in the proceeding months and years.

Now to the data center. First, for an intro to how rate cases work for our utility bills. There are two charges on our bill. The delivery charge and the supply charge. Unlike Sigler's erroneous comments in his recent op ed, data centers are having significant negative impacts on our utility bills through increased delivery charges. The amount a utility can demand in a rate case is affixed directly to their rate base, which is determined by the size of their infrastructure. The larger their infrastructure the more they can demand. Put a 400MW data center in a county that currently only uses 87MW in its entirety and NYSEG will have to build out huge amounts of infrastructure to meet practically a quintupling of the energy demand for our county. However, the data center does not pay for that infrastructure. We do. Our constituents just finished paying for a 62% increase. One month after that rate increase ended NYSEG put in another rate case for